Re: File 2025-64274-I – Supplementary Submission #1: Creed Sincerity under Amselem (December 12, 2025)

From Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

To hrto.registrar@Ontario.ca , kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com, bnlegal@bn.com

Date Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 9:03 PM

please disregard the previous email,
my AI assistant put something in i disagree with.

Regards,
Brendan Rose
Applicant (self-represented)
File 2025-64274-I
437-432-9165
thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 8:56 PM, BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com> wrote:

> **Submission #1 – Creed Sincerity under Amselem**
> File 2025-64274-I
> December 12, 2025
> Registrar and parties,
> The applicant submits that the sincerity of the Luciferian creed must be assessed **subjectively** in accordance with *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem*, 2004 SCC 47. The Tribunal is expressly prohibited from judging the validity, reasonableness, or "truth" of the belief. The only question is whether the applicant genuinely holds it.
> The applicant's creed — redemption through confrontation with darkness, radical honesty, and artistic expression as liturgy — has been consistently practiced for years. This practice is documented in thousands of pages of writings, songs, videos, and the permanent public archive filed with the Tribunal.
> A core tenet of the creed is **radical individualism** — the absolute refusal to submit personal belief to external authority, corporate or state. This principle is lived daily through the applicant's insistence on publishing under sacred names and maintaining the archive despite all consequences.
> **Radical defiance through comedy and deliberate absurdity** is equally central. Laughter, satire, and the ridiculous are sacred tools for exposing hypocrisy and confronting darkness. The applicant's comedic works and titles are not mockery of the creed, but liturgical acts within it. The fact that many mainstream religions are largely joyless should not be held as a requirement for recognition under the Code. My religion is not theirs.
> The applicant has continued this expression despite permanent loss of publishing income, severe financial harm, documented physical reprisal against family members, and credible ongoing threats to safety. The public release of these materials in a hearing raises serious safety concerns, yet the applicant still requests the archive be made public (with only the most extreme content sealed to protect family).
> The applicant's willingness to endure starvation, loss of support animals, breakdown of marriage, and ongoing threats rather than renounce or conceal the creed is powerful evidence of subjective sincerity. No mainstream religion has ever been required to demonstrate comparable sacrifice of this magnitude to establish protected status.
> Accordingly, any finding that the Luciferian creed is not sincerely held or does not constitute a protected creed under the Code would require the application of a higher or different standard to this minority belief system than is applied to established religions.
> Regards,
> Brendan S. Rose

Applicant (self-represented)